Previous references.

G.P. 2074-83
RF 166-92
D 28-01
Later references.

1901-02
(Excise)

S. R File No. 32 of 01
Serial Nos. 1-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 1-4

Major Part B. for September

SUBJECT.

Claims of the Inamdars of Budemaranahalli Kortagere Tumkur Dt., to the toddy Revenue.

---

1. From. Excise Commissioner in Mysore. 7856/384 D 26-5-02

Submits record of enquiry regarding toddy Revenue of Budemaranahalli

2. To Excise Commissioner in Mysore 57/Ext-11-01 D 16-7-02

Returns the record of enquiry for resubmission and report regarding the excise

3. From Excise Commissioner in Mysore. 4700/304 D 10-2-03

G. R. S. 58 Resubmits the record of enquiry with necessary corrections

4. To Excise Commissioner & Comptroller in Mysore 265-6/Ext 11-01 D 18-9-

Disallows the claim of the Inamdars to toddy Revenue

S R 22-1

3

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

m B

32 of 01

(Full Office Note.)

‡ **Subject.** Excise Commissioner no. 4700-304 of 1st July 03.

Issue toddy shop of Poori Bidenamanahalli village Kortagere Sub Taluk, Tumkur District.

* **Note.**

Nee in annual shops only two quarts selected Hence is no objection to a Clerk

Submitted accordingly

Clerk

Dwr 29/8

Submitted to the Dewan through the 2nd Councillor

2/9/03

Agreed 4/9/03

12760 4.9.03

4/9/3

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

15 29 1902
3- 1902

4443

From P. N. Purnaiya Esqr. B.A.
Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To N. V. Nanjundayya Esqr. B.A.,
Secretary to Government, H.
General and Revenue Department

Dated 26th May 1902

Despatched 2nd June 1902.

Received

General No. 7856

No. 384

Enclosure No. 1 Jcb

Submits copy of the record of enquiry re the Kaddy Revenue of the Jodi Budamarnahalli Kortagere Sub taluk

Excise
3. 6.02

No. 784 Excise 1902

Excise Commissioner's Office
Dated, Bangalore 26th May 1902
2nd June

From P. N. Purnaiya Esquire BA
Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To.
N. V. Nanjundayya Esqr. M.A., M.L.
Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In submitting herewith copy of the record of enquiry re the Toddy Revenue of the Jodi Budamarnahalli Village, Kortagere Sub taluk I have the honor to state that I concur in the investigating officer's opinion that the jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. He is entitled only to Tree-rent as per particulars below

Years	"Rent of the Grove Farm" in the village		¼ th payable to the Inamdar		
	Rs	As-P	Rs-	As-	P.
1892-93	1	"	0	3	2
1893 94	"	12	0	2	5
1894 95	"	12	0	2	5
1895 96	1	8	"	4	10
1896 97	3	0	0	9	7
	7	0	1	6	5

Balance to be refunded by the Inamdar out of the money advanced to him Rs 3-1-7
4-0-0

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

S.K 39 7/31

SL 2 — Io

~~SL-2~~ The Excise Commr
in Mysore.

No 57 Rem. one file
4711-01
Dated 15th July 1902.

His letter no. 7856/384
dated 26th May 1902 reporting
the result of enquiry held
regarding the toddy revenue
of the godi Budemarnahalli
village, Koratgere Sub Taluk,
and stating that a refund
of Rs 3-1-7 should be made
by the Inamdar out of the
money advanced to him

With reference to the
above has the honor to
request that the Excise
Commr will be so good
as to report the circum-
stances under which
the advance in excess

of what the Inundation
was presumably entitled
to was made, together with
the papers, if any, on the
subject.

2. The record of Enquiry received
with the above is hereby returned for resubmission ~~with~~
~~the report called for~~

22/6/02

for Secretary

KG
12/6/02

10/7/02

E 309

4



1903

From
C. A. Purnaiya Esqr
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
H. V. Nanjundiah Esqr
Secretary to Government
General & Revenue Departments

Dated 10th February 1903

Despatched 14 — do

Received

General No. 4700
No. 304

Enclosure No. 1 and
a file

Subject

Resubmits the record
of enquiry relating
to the Toddy Renewal
of the Jodi Budemar
-nahalli Village,
Kortagere Sub Taluk,
and reports the circum
-stances under which
an advance in
excess of what the
Inamdar was entitled
to was made.

Note & Draft
put up
True
25/2/03

Ltr
17.2.03

Exe Commissioner's Office

No. 4700 / 304 Encl 1 and a file From

Dated 10th February 1903

14

P. N. Purnaiya Esquire
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To.

H. V. Nanjundiah Esquire
Secretary to Government
General & Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In returning herewith the record of enquiry regarding the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Budemarnahalli, Kortagere Sub-Taluk, received with your docket No: 57 / R.B. 11-1901, dated 15th July 1902, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of docket No. Nil. 1033 dated 2nd Instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, stating that all advances were made to the Inamdars during the time

P/

1903

From

M. S. Narayan Rao Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To.

P. N. Ramaiya Esqr B.A
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 2nd/6 February 1903

No. In 1033

With his No 4230/109, dated 24th January 1903, calling for information why the instructions laid down in his Circular No 844-50/351 dated 13th August 1894, were not observed in making advances to the Inamdars not entitled to Excise Revenue.

With reference to the above has the honor to state that the undersigned cannot explain why those instructions were not observed, as all the

the advances referred to were made to the Inamdars during the time of his predecessors.

(Sd) M. V. Narayana Rao.
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

[illegible]
Asst Excise Commissioner
101 in Mysore

A Calendar of a claim to Zoddy Revenue Enquired into by the General Assistant Commissioner Tumkur District

Name of Taluk.	Name of Hobli Saminath or Mapani.	Name of Survadumam Jodi or Kayanguttu Village	Name of Duandar or Jodidar or Kayanguttadar	Documents relied upon	Witnesses Examined	Finding	Amount awarded if any	Grounds of decision
Koratagere	Holavana halli	Budamara nahalli.	T. Sreenivasa Char.	Nil	Nil	Not entitled to Excise revenue		The Duandar appeared in person and claimed only tree rent and the Inam Superintendent has not admitted their claim to Zoddy revenue.

Dated 6th March 1902.

Signed T. Parameswara Iyer
General Assistant Commissioner
Tumkur District

Copy.

[illegible]

Dy. Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

From

T. Paramasiva Iyer Esq B.A.

Genl Asst Commr Tumkur.

To B. G. Krishnimgar Esq

Dy Commr, Tumkur.

no 5. dy 31/3 / 3/4 02.

Sir

In inviting reference to my decisions regarding the claims of the Jodidars to the Toddy Revenue in the cases of the marginally noted and other villages submitted with my letter no 3 dated 15th March 1902, I have the honour to state that the application of rule 4 of the Government Proceedings no 207483 / Dy. 166-92 dated 2nd August 1902, in the case of those and other villages in respect of which the former Deputy Commissioner Mr Venkatavaradiya ordered advances to be made in accordance with sort Proc would be a hardship to the Jodidars of whom there are not a few and who are either now dead

or

1 Sommenahally
2. Kempanahally
3. Hanumanahally
4. Ponnenahally
5. Akkajihally
6. Basenahally

are scattered over places far away from their godi villages and as these sums were distributed among a number of Vrittidars among the godi village being common.

2. The full amounts realised from the sale of such godi's grove minus small sums on account of Establishment charges in the absence of any rule to the contrary have been advanced to them on the assumption that they were entitled to the grove revenue.

3. I am therefore of opinion that the balances now found to be due from such Vrittidars may either be recommended to be written off the accounts or should the Government decide that the balance must be recovered, be made payable in such annual instalments as the Government may deem equitable to fix but if either course is not approved of by Government, I would suggest that the future of these

Inam villages may be taken over
under Government management
till balances are fully realised
by selling out the trees by
Government Agency for tree reserve
On the whole I submit that
Government may not take
back what they have given
under rules which have
since been altered.

I have etc

(Sd) Paramasiva Iyer.

Genl Asst Commr

Dumka.

Mysore Govt., Gen. & Rev. Secretariat

Special Branch

S R. 32

File No. (if any) and its date—

S.R. 32

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read Special Commr letter no 7856/384, dt 26/5/02, relg. the record of enquiry relative to the inamdar's claim to the [illegible] revenue of the godi Bedemmanahalli village, Kortagere Sub Taluk Tumkur dated

Read: Letter no. 4700/304, dt 20.8.03 from the same officer, forwarding with reference to no. 57/27.11.01 dt 15.Jun.02, the circumstances under which an advance was given to the inamdar in excess of what was due to him.

Order thereon.

no 2656/47.11.01

dt 18/9/03.

The village has

Dear Sir 19/9

9/9/03

Only a date & year but
no body else. The
inundation is alone
only true fact which
is only local-the claims
2. The Excise Comrs
is requested to report
the steps taken for
recovering the amount
admitted in excess
of that actually due
to the inundated
lands & the officers
Cases referd to in her
Parliamentary Rgrs letter
no 5 of 31st ultimo
to the Dy Comrs, Dumkee.

7/9/83

6.IX.83

To Secy

To the Excise Comrs in response
returning their Parliamentary Rgrs letter (Copy of this with
no 6 of 31/8/83 the letter & file to
be returned)

The Comrs Calcutta

Copy

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Excise, dated 18th September 1903.

READ AGAIN—

Excise Commissioner's letter No. 7856—384, dated 26th May 1902, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the Inamdar's claim to the toddy revenue of the Jodi Budemaranahalli village, Koratagere Sub-Taluk, Tumkur District.

READ—

Letter No. 4700—304, dated 10th February 1903, from the same officer reporting with reference to No. 57—Ex. F. 11-01, dated 15th July 1902, the circumstances under which an advance was given to the Inamdar in excess of what was due to him.

No. 265-6—Ex. F. 11-01, DATED BANGALORE, 18TH SEPTEMBER 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The village has only a date grove but no toddy shop. The Inamdar is allowed only tree-rent, which is only what he claims.

2. The Excise Commissioner is requested to report the steps taken for recovering the amount advanced in excess of that actually due to the Inamdars in this and the other cases referred to in Mr. Paramasiva Iyer's letter No. 5, dated 31st March 1902, to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner, returning Mr. Paramasiva Iyer's letter No. 5, dated 31st March 1902,
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd C.V.

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 18th September 1903

No. 265-6 / Ex. F. 11-01

Disallowing the claim of the Inamd of Budemaranahalli village, Koratag Sub-Taluk, Tumkur District, to the to revenue of the village.

SBP—9-10-03—50